

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter East Cambridgeshire District Council

for the year ended 31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about East Cambridgeshire District Council that we have received and try to draw any lessons learned about the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements. These might then be fed back into service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

We received 19 complaints this year, an increase of four on the previous year. We expect to see these fluctuations and I see no significance in the rise.

Character

In last year's letter I noted that there had been a reduction in the number of planning complaints, contrary to the trend in rural England as a whole. However, thirteen complaints were received about planning this year, an increase on the four received last year. One complaint was received about local taxation (which we now record as public finance), one about housing and one about benefits. Three complaints were received in the 'other' category. All three were about an environmental health matter and are still under investigation.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and local settlements

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine.

Two complaints were settled locally. One was about the handling of a complainant's council tax accounts where there was a lack of clarity about what she owed. The information provided to her in response to requests for clarification was insufficient to allow her to understand what she was paying and why. The Council agreed to pay the complainant compensation of £50 and took steps to clarify matters. The second complaint concerned benefit claims where there was delay in processing claims and a failure to take into account information that the complainant supplied about his household income and circumstances. The Council agreed to pay the complainant compensation of £200 and my investigator considered this was a reasonable settlement of the complaint. The total compensation paid was £250. I am grateful to the Council for its assistance in settling these complaints.

When we complete an investigation we must issue a report. I issued no reports against the Council during the year.

Other findings

Fifteen complaints were decided during the year. Of these three were outside my jurisdiction for a variety of reasons. Four were premature and, as I mentioned earlier, two were settled locally. The

remaining six were not pursued because no evidence of maladministration was seen or because it was decided for other reasons not to pursue them.

Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

The number of premature complaints (four) is not especially high when set against the number of incoming complaints (19). Three of these were resubmitted, and two remain under investigation.

In last year's letter I commented that the Council's complaints process was clear and accessible through the Council's website although it could be better signposted. I am encouraged to see that the position has improved and a clear link to making a complaint can now be found on the home page of the Council's website allowing customers to make complaints guickly and effectively.

Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand and in addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made enquiries on eight complaints this year and the average time for responding was 31 days, against a target of 28 days, an increase on the 27 days it took last year. I have no doubt that the way my enquiries are dealt with centrally by the Council could be improved. I hope the Council will try to improve its response times here, particularly given the relatively low number of enquiries I made of it.

No one from the Council has attended the annual link officer seminar recently and you may wish to consider sending someone to the seminar to be held in November. If so, please let Stephen Purser my Assistant Ombudsman know and he will arrange for an invitation to be sent.

In addition, if it would help for Mr Purser to visit the Council and give a presentation about how we investigate complaints I would be happy to arrange this.

I would like to hold a regional seminar in Cambridgeshire during 2007/2008. These seminars have proved popular and enable Members and Officers to obtain a better understanding of my role and of our role in complaint handling. If your Council would be willing to host such a seminar please let Stephen Purser know. Assuming a venue can be found, I will be sending out invitation letters later in the year.

LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter

correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way that we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. *Local partnerships and citizen redress* sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

J R White Local Government Ombudsman The Oaks No 2 Westwood Way Westwood Business Park Coventry CV4 8JB

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics

Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	1	1	3	13	1	19
2005 / 2006	0	1	5	4	5	15
2004 / 2005	2	1	6	19	4	32

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	2	0	0	4	2	3	4	11	15
2005 / 2006	0	1	0	0	10	2	3	3	16	19
2004 / 2005	0	1	0	0	18	4	0	4	23	27

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES				
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond			
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	8	31.0			
2005 / 2006	7	27.3			
2004 / 2005	13	26.1			

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	66.7	33.3	0.0

Printed: 09/05/2007 14:25